Pentasteria? splendida, a new Early Cretaceous astropectinid starfish from northern Germany
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Abstract A new species of early Hauterivian (Early Cretaceous) astropectinid starfish, Pentasteria? splendida, is recorded from strata assigned to the Endemoceras amblygonium ammonite Zone at Engelbostel, near Hannover (northern Germany). Although based solely on a lightly pyritised proximal arm fragment (most likely the result of a predator attack, which is also suggested by possible bite marks), preservation is otherwise excellent, with numerous spines on inferomarginals and adambulacras retained. Distinguishing features include a distal row of three equal-sized spines on the oral surface of inferomarginals, plus two oblique rows of horseshoe-shaped spine bases on their lateral surface bearing spines of variable length, as well as the absence of large spine pits on superomarginals and adambulacras. Two oral intermediate ossicles close to the disc margin apparently possess larger spine bases. For the time being, assignment to the family Astropectinidae is based on the occurrence of multiple spines on inferomarginal ossicles and paxilliform adoral ossicles, but placement in the genus Pentasteria is tentative, because superomarginal ossicles have closely spaced small granules rather than scale-like spinelets.
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Introduction

As recently shown by Villier (2010), the record of Early Cretaceous asteroid species from Europe and North Africa is comparatively poor, albeit severely biased. On the basis of dissociated ossicles from the Barremian (Early Cretaceous) of Drôme (southeast France), Villier (2010) described astropectinids, stauranderasterids, goniasterids, ?sphaerasterids, a valvatidan clade of uncertain affinities and ?forcipulatids, inclusive of two new species. With these additions, around 20 species are now known (compare Villier (2010), Table 1). taxa that are more or less coeval with the present record from northern Germany include the following:

*Comptoniaster godeti* Breton 1992, a goniasterid from the Hauterivian of Isère, France;
*Pycinaster* sp., another goniasterid from the same level and locality;
*Chaetasterina gracilis* Hess 1970, a chaetasterid from the Hauterivian of Sainte-Blaise, Switzerland;
*Protothyaster priscus* (de Loriol 1874), an ophidiasterid from the same level and locality;
*Coulonia neocomiensis* de Loriol 1874 (= *Cuneaster hauteriviensis* Hess 1955), an astropectinid from the Hauterivian of Neuchâtel, Switzerland and Drôme, France;
*Coulonia platyspina* Hess and Blake 1995, an astropectinid from the Barremian of Agadir, Morocco;
*Pentasteria* sp., an astropectinid from the Hauterivian of Sainte-Blaise, Switzerland (see Hess 1970) and;
*indeterminate benthopectinids* from the Hauterivian of Isère, France.

To this list should be added some preliminary records of well-preserved early Valanginian asteroids from the...
Platylenticeras heteropleurum and P. robustum ammonite zones at Sachsenhagen, northern Germany, mostly contained in private collections [see Pockrandt and Meyer (1986); Fischer (1992); Zawischa (1992)]. Unfortunately, these have not yet been properly described and named.

As far as we are aware, the first record of an early Hau tierivian asteroid from Engelbostel is a partial specimen, retaining portions of at least two arms, in private hands (B. Dose Collection). It was briefly discussed and illustrated by Weitschat (1984, pp. 95, 96, unnumbered figure), who compared it with representatives of the genera Cottreauaster C.W. Wright 1951 and Comptonia Gray 1840. Although we have been unable to trace this specimen ourselves, the schematic drawing in Weitschat (1984) does show a certain resemblance to the present record (i.e. nature of the marginal ossicles, width and length of arms), and may actually refer to the same astropectinid which is here described as a new species.

Systematic palaeontology

Order Paxillosida Perrier 1884
Family Astropectinidae Gray 1840
?Genus Pentasteria Valette 1929
Type species: Pentasteria boisteli Valette 1929, by monotypy.

Pentasteria? splendida n. sp. (Fig. 1)

Material

GPIMH 3100 (leg./don. Norbert Nordmeyer), the holotype and sole specimen known to date, in the collections of the Geologisch-Paläontologisches Institut und Museum der Universität Hamburg.

Locality and stratigraphy

Former clay pit Engelbostel, about 5 km north of Hannover, northern Germany; earliest Hau tierivian (lower *Endemoceras* Beds; *Endemoceras amblygonium* ammonite Zone; see Mutterlose (1998), pp. 73, 74, Fig. 34; Mutterlose et al. (1998, Fig. 4).

Derivation of name

Named after Norbert Nordmeyer, the name ‘Norbert’ in Germanic language meaning ‘the splendid one from the north’.

Diagnosis

Medium-sized astropectinid, with narrow, long arms; superomarginals with close-set granule pits only, lacking large spine pits; inferomarginals with distal row of three equal-sized spines, plus two oblique lateral rows of larger horseshoe-shaped spine bases to which are attached spines of variable length; adambulacrals lacking large spine pits, oral intermediate ossicles close to disc margin apparently with large spine base.

Description

Fragmentary proximal arm (eight supero- and eight inferomarginals); greatest length (as preserved) 18.2 mm, distal width 7.8 mm, proximal width 10.8 mm; pyritised and compressed laterally, making measurements of arm width approximate; comparison with well-preserved astropectinids such as a specimen of Pentasteria longispina Hess 1968 (see Hess 1975, p. 1), suggests a medium-sized individual, with a major radius (R) of c. 80 mm and a minor one (r) of c. 20 mm. Superomarginals near disc margin (Fig. 1a, right-hand side) rather tall (ossicular angle c. 48 degrees), progressively becoming longer more distally and more quadrangular in outline; length between 2.0 and 2.2 mm proximally to 2.4–2.5 (and more) distally (Fig. 1a, b); only with closely spaced, small granule pits; in places, diminutive, roundish and flat granules preserved (Fig. 1a, b) where ossicles abut; aboral surface of superomarginals bulging, ridge-like but merging smoothly into remainder of ossicle (Fig. 1a, b), flatter more distally and corrugated to some extent (Fig. 1a, left-hand side), but lacking large spine pits. In lateral view (Fig. 1b), supero- and inferomarginals alternate in the proximal and median arm portion, but are opposed more distally; proximal facets of infero- and superomarginals with rather shallow intermarginal fascioles. Proximal inferomarginals more cuneate (Fig. 1c), becoming more quadrangular distally (Fig. 1c) and there with at least three equal-sized, close-set, striate spines (length c. 50% of marginal length) on oral surface, near distal margin (Fig. 1c) and up to six (in two oblique rows) on lateral surface, three stouter, the others of variable length, all finely striate; the longest spine at least corresponds to the length of an inferomarginal ossicle; spine bases horseshoe shaped, one row of four, near-equal sized spine bases distal margin oblique towards proximal–intermarginal corner, second row of two slightly smaller, horseshoe-shaped spine bases distal to first row (Fig. 1c–e). Ambulacrals not visible; aboral ossicles quadrangular, blocky (Fig. 1a), near-flat to slightly bulbous, with smooth surface; paxilliform adoral ossicles close set, at least 9–11 rows abreast, pillar like, c. 0.5 mm in length, with only slightly widened and rounded base (Fig. 1a). Adambulacrals blocky,
with uneven outer surface, smooth, alternating in opposite rows, with close cover of similar-sized spines, straight to lightly curved (Fig. 1c, d), striate and up to 2 mm in length, and up to 5–6 per ossicle. No larger spines, but a row of oral intermediate ossicles proximally with 3–4 smaller spines, and even more proximal ones, at disc margin (Fig. 1c, lower right-hand side), apparently with a single, large spine base.

On some marginal ossicles (Fig. 1b, arrowed) tooth marks are visible [compare Neumann (2000); Zaton’ et al. (2007)], which would indicate a predator attack and might also explain the fragmentary preservation of this individual, which otherwise retains many skeletal appendages.

Discussion

As listed by Villier (2010, Table 1), there is only a single previous record of a species of Pentasteria from Haeturivian strata. Hess (1970, p. 1082, pl. 3, Fig. 3; p. 4, Fig. 3) described P. (Archastropecten) sp. from the early Haeturivian of Sainte-Blaise near Neuchâtel (Switzerland), on the basis of what appeared to be a juvenile specimen ($R = 8$ mm; $r = 4$ mm). Although details of spines and marginal ornament could not be fully assessed, Hess (1970) suggested that this could be likened to common dissociated, coeval astropectinid marginals from the Waadtland (L’Auberson near Ste Croix) and the Neuenburger...
(Le Landeron) Jura Chain, and referred to by him as P. (A.) cf. huxleyi. However, as illustrations in Hess (Hess 1955, p. 87, Figs. 23–37) and Hess (Hess 1975, pp. 29, 34, pl. 8, Figs. 13–14) show, this form has much better-developed intermarginal fascioles, superomarginals are much lower than those of P.? splendida n. sp. and have a much coarser ornament; in addition, inferomarginals have an utterly different arrangement of spine bases.

There are, however, two additional records that need to be considered here. Firstly, from the Hauterivian of Neuchâtel, de Loriol (1874, p. 6, pl. 1, Fig. 1) described a new species, Astropecten desori, on the basis of a near-complete individual (\(R = 74 \text{ mm}, \ r = 20 \text{ mm}\)). Hess (1955, p. 32) noted that in this form the ‘ventrolateralia’ (= oral indeterminate ossicles, as here understood) were not developed far beyond the disc, meaning also that, proximally, the arms were already narrow. The marginals are described as low, and remains of inferomarginal spines suggest a length equal to the width of a plate. In these features, as well as in the coarse ornament of marginals (see de Loriol 1874, pl. 1, Fig. 1d), de Loriol’s species differs from P.? splendida n. sp. Secondly, Astropecten carthusiae Hérenger 1944 (p. 38, text-Figs. 4–9; pl. 1, Figs. 1–4), from the late Valanginian of Grande Chartreuse (France), which, according to Hess (1955, pp. 54, 55) has a single row of narrow ‘ventrolateral’ ossicles over the entire arm length and wide, low marginals and ambulacral scars. Here too, confusion with the new species can be ruled out on the basis of these features.

In conclusion, the new species is provisionally assigned to the genus Pentasteria, from which it differs in having only small, close-set granules, rather than scale-like spinctes, on the superomarginals. The absence of large spine pits on those superomarginal recalls certain species of the subgenus Archastropecten Hess 1955 (sensu Hess 1960a; type species: Astropecten huxleyi T. Wright 1862), although both taxa would appear to be in need of a modern revision. As demonstrated on a number of recent occasions (see e.g. Blake 1986, 1989), some Jurassic and Early Cretaceous ‘astropectinids’ may reveal characters that are more typical of goniasterids, suggesting that those species should be transferred from the order Paxillosida to the order Valvatida. Be that as it may, in our view P.? splendida n. sp. has an inferomarginal spine canopy which is typically astropectinid in nature, although it appears more complex than in members of Pentasteria that have been described so far (see e.g. Hess 1955, 1960b, 1987) and the erection of a new genus might be warranted once better-preserved material becomes available. Unfortunately, ambulacral scars are not visible; we have refrained from further preparation of this unique specimen, which adds to the meagre record of Early Cretaceous asteroids, so as not to cause irreparable damage to it.
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